

CONSUMER DISPUTES REDRESSAL FORUM-VI (DISTT. NEW DELHI), 'M'
BLOCK, 1ST FLOOR, VIKAS BHAWAN, I.P.ESTATE,

NEW DELHI-110001.

Case No.CC/332/11

Dated:

In the matter of:

NIKHLESH JAINE-8, 1ST FLOOR, JAWAHAR PARK

NEAR HEERA SWEETS, LAXMI NAGAR DELHI-110092.

.....COMPLAINANT

VERSUS

MUNICIPAL CORPORATION OF DELHI

THROUGH ITS COMMISSIONER / PUBLIC INFORMATION OFFICER

TOWN HALL, CHANDNI CHOWK DELHI-110006.

..... OPPOSITE PARTY

ORDER

President : C.K. Chaturvedi

The complainant sought certain information under RTI Act, from OP, MCD, in respect of one Praveen Jain, in pursuance of some Judgements passed by Hon'ble Supreme Court in Civil Appeal No.5933/94, in the case of MCD vs. Praveen Kumar Jain & Ors. He did not get the information in the prescribed period of 30 days in the RTI Act. Therefore, this complaint. MCD is ex-parte.

We have considered the evidence and submissions made including citation of National Commission in the case of Dr.S.P.Thirumala Rao vs. MCD of Mysore City Municipal Corporation in Revision Petition No. 244/04 on 28.5.2009. The non-supply of information or reply is certainly a deficiency in service, through direction to furnish information can be given only by Appellate Authority under RTI Act. The complainant sought the service by deputing as prescribed fee, for which he got no service.

We hold OP guilty of deficiency of service and award a compensation for harassment and litigation of Rs.2,000/- on concerned Corporation, as this remedy under Consumer Act, is in addition for any other remedy under any other Act.

The order shall be complied within 30 days of the receipt of the copy of the order; otherwise action can be taken under Section 25 / 27 of the Consumer Protection Act.

Copy of the order be sent to the parties free of cost.

Pronounced in open Court on

(C.K.CHATURVEDI)

PRESIDENT

(S.R. CHAUDHARY)

MEMBER

(ASHA KUMAR)

MEMBER